SINGLE BENCH

CANCELLED

CANCELLED

4

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATISGARH BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 5794 /2006

Petitioner : Manoj Kumar
S/o Madho Prasad Dansena
Aged about 29 years,
R/o Chandrapur, District – Janjgir (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5477/06
Presented by Shri V.K. Pandey
dated 5.10.06

Versus

Respondents

1. Sant Kunwar
Wd/o Late Goutam Lal
Aged about 40 years

2. Anil S/o Late Goutam Lal
Aged about 25 years,

3. Akhilesh S/o Late Goutam Lal
Aged about 22 years,

Respondents 1 to 3 are R/o
Village Kalma, Post – Balpur, P.S. – Chandarpur, Dist : Janjgir-Champa-(C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 05/10/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(3 to)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.(C).-.5794/06 **सन्** **200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.01.2007

Shri Vivek Kumar Pandey, Counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

By way of this Writ Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the validity, legality and propriety of the order dated 12.4.2006 passed in M.A.C.C. No.20/99 by the Additional Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sakti.

It appears that by the impugned order, the learned Tribunal had allowed two applications for amendment of the Claim Petition filed on 09/12/2005 and 03/03/2006 under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

A perusal of the impugned order would show that in one application, some party respondent was prayed to be added, which has been allowed and in another application, some small amendment was sought to be made, discussion of which is contained in second page of the impugned order.

I have carefully perused the impugned order passed by the Court below.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not raise any such ground on the basis of which this order passed by the Claims Tribunal can be interfered under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

The petition has no merit and the same is dismissed in limine.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

P.T.O.